

ISLAMIC JURISPRUDENCE

Imran Ahsan Khan Nyazee

Advanced Legal Studies Institute
P.O.Box 3013, Islamabad

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	The Problem With the Meaning of Jurisprudence	1
1.2	A Format of the General Theory of Law	3
1.3	A Model for the Study of the General Theory of Islamic Law or <i>Uṣūl al-Fiqh</i>	10
1.4	The Subject-matter of <i>Uṣūl al-Fiqh</i>	14
1.5	The Scope of This Book	17
2	The Meaning of <i>Uṣūl al-Fiqh</i> and Basic Terms	21
2.1	The Literal Meaning of <i>Fiqh</i>	24
2.2	Earlier General Meaning of <i>Fiqh</i>	25
2.3	Later Shāfi‘ite Definition of <i>Fiqh</i>	26
2.4	Analysis of the Definition of <i>Fiqh</i>	27
2.5	Distinctions Based on the Definition	30
2.5.1	Distinction between <i>sharī‘ah</i> and <i>fiqh</i>	31
2.5.2	Distinction between <i>mujtahid</i> and <i>faqīh</i>	31
2.5.3	Distinction between <i>ijtihād</i> and <i>taqlīd</i>	32
2.5.4	Distinction between a <i>muqallid</i> and a <i>faqīh</i>	32
2.6	A Wider Definition of <i>Fiqh</i> : Criticising the Nar- row Definition	33
2.6.1	What in reality is the <i>dalīl tafṣīlī</i> ?	33
2.6.2	The legal structure of the evidences (<i>adil- lah</i>)	35
2.6.3	Identifying the defects of the narrow definition	38

2.6.4	Redefining <i>fiqh</i>	40
2.7	Rejection of the Narrow Definition of <i>Fiqh</i> and its Impact	41
2.8	The Meaning of <i>Aṣl</i>	41
2.9	The Nature of the <i>Qawā'id Uṣūliyyah</i> : the Basis of the Schools of Law	44
2.9.1	The Meaning of a School of Law	46
2.10	<i>Uṣūl al-Fiqh</i> Defined: Combining the Meaning of <i>Fiqh</i> and <i>Uṣūl</i>	47
2.11	The Nature of the <i>Qawā'id Fiqhiyyah</i> : Are These Evidences too?	48
2.12	A Wider Definition of <i>Uṣūl al-Fiqh</i>	51
I	The <i>Ḥukm Shar'ī</i>	55
3	The <i>Ḥukm</i>: What is Islamic Law?	61
3.1	The Three Elements of the <i>Ḥukm Shar'ī</i>	62
3.2	The Meaning of the <i>Ḥukm Shar'ī</i> or the Meaning of Islamic Law	63
3.3	The <i>Ḥukm Taklīfī</i> —Obligations and Duties	67
3.3.1	The <i>ḥukm taklīfī</i> from the perspective of the <i>uṣūlī</i>	68
3.3.2	The <i>ḥukm taklīfī</i> from the perspective of the <i>faqīh</i>	69
3.3.3	The <i>ḥukm taklīfī</i> according to the Ḥanafis	70
3.4	The <i>Ḥukm Waḍ'ī</i> —Declaratory Rules	72
3.5	The Distinction Between the <i>Ḥukm Taklīfī</i> and the <i>Ḥukm Waḍ'ī</i>	73
4	Classification of Rules in Islamic Law	75
4.1	The Meaning of <i>Wājib</i> (Obligatory Act) and its Different Types	78
4.1.1	The <i>ḥukm</i> or rule for the <i>wājib</i>	79
4.2	The Classifications of the <i>Wājib</i> (Obligatory Act)	80
4.2.1	Classification based on the time available for performance: <i>muṭlaq</i> and <i>muqayyad</i>	81

4.2.2	Classification based on the extent of the required act	84
4.2.3	Classification based on the subjects who are required to perform	85
4.2.4	Classification based on the identification of the object of the required act . . .	86
4.3	The Meaning of <i>Mandūb</i> (Recommended Act) and its Different Types	87
4.3.1	Types of <i>Mandūb</i>	88
4.4	The Meaning of <i>Ḥarām</i> (Prohibited Act) and its Different Types	90
4.4.1	The types of <i>ḥarām</i>	92
4.5	The Meaning of <i>Makrūh</i> (Disapproved Act) and its Different Types	94
4.6	The Meaning of <i>Mubāḥ</i> (Permitted Act) and its Different Types	96
4.7	The <i>Ḥukm Wad'ī</i> or the Declaratory Rule	97
4.7.1	<i>Sabab, sharṭ</i> and <i>māni'</i>	98
4.7.2	<i>Ṣiḥḥah, fasād</i> and <i>butlān</i> (validity, vitiation and nullity)	101
4.7.3	' <i>Azīmah</i> and <i>rukḥṣah</i> (initial rules and exemptions)	101
4.8	The Purpose of the Classification	102
5	The Lawgiver (<i>Ḥākim</i>)	105
5.1	Allah is the True Source of all Laws	105
5.2	The Fundamental Norm of the Legal System . . .	107
5.3	The Law and the Interest of Man	108
5.3.1	Is Man the sole purpose of creation? . . .	109
5.3.2	Can we employ <i>maṣlahah</i> (interest) for new laws?	110
5.4	Are the <i>Sharī'ah</i> and Natural Law Compatible? .	111
6	The Act (<i>Maḥkūm Fīh</i>)	117
6.1	Defining the <i>Maḥkūm Fīh</i>	118

6.2	The Conditions for the Creation of Obligation (<i>Taklīf</i>)	119
6.2.1	The act to be performed or avoided must be known	119
6.2.2	The subject should be able to perform the act	120
6.3	The Nature of the Act (<i>Maḥkūm Fīh</i>)	121
6.4	Classification of the <i>Ḥukm Taklīfī</i> on the Basis of Rights	123
6.5	Classification of Duties: Original and Substitutory	127
6.6	The Importance of the Classification of Rights for Islamic Criminal Law	128
6.7	Human Rights and Other Classifications	133
6.7.1	Developing the Islamic Theory of Rights and Duties	133
6.7.2	The analysis of rights	137
6.7.3	The nature of duties in Islamic law	137
6.7.4	Natural rights and rights given by the law	138
7	The Subject (<i>Maḥkūm ‘Alayh</i>)	141
7.1	<i>Ahliyyah</i> or Legal Capacity	143
7.1.1	The two parts of legal capacity	143
7.1.2	<i>Dhimmah</i> and legal capacity	143
7.2	The Underlying Bases of Legal Capacity	144
7.2.1	<i>Insāniyyah</i> and <i>‘Aql</i>	144
7.2.2	Mental maturity	145
7.2.3	Legal capacity and liability	146
7.3	Complete Capacity	147
7.3.1	Legal effects of complete capacity	147
7.3.2	The stages leading to complete legal ca- pacity	149
7.4	Deficient and Imperfect Capacity	149
7.4.1	Cases of deficient legal capacity	150
7.4.2	Cases of Imperfect Capacity	155

8	Causes of Defective Legal Capacity	161
8.1	Natural Causes of Defective Capacity	163
8.1.1	Minority (<i>ṣighar</i>)	163
8.1.2	Insanity (<i>junūn</i>)	164
8.1.3	Idiocy (<i>'atah</i>)	165
8.1.4	Sleep and fits of fainting (<i>nawm, ighmā'</i>)	165
8.1.5	Forgetfulness (<i>nisyān</i>)	166
8.1.6	Death-illness (<i>marad al-mawt</i>)	166
8.2	Acquired Causes of Defective Capacity	170
8.2.1	Intoxication (<i>sukr</i>)	170
8.2.2	Jest (<i>hazl</i>)	172
8.2.3	Indiscretion (<i>safah</i>)	173
8.2.4	Coercion and duress (<i>ikrāh</i>)	174
8.2.5	Mistake and ignorance (<i>khata', shub-</i> <i>hah, and jahl</i>)	177
II	The Primary Sources of Islamic Law	181
9	The Meaning of Primary and Secondary Sources	185
9.1	The Meaning of "Source" in Islamic Law	186
9.2	The Classification of the Sources	187
9.2.1	Agreed upon and disputed sources	187
9.2.2	Transmitted and rational sources	188
9.2.3	Definitive and probable sources	189
9.2.4	Primary and secondary sources	192
9.3	Grades of the Sources	194
10	The Qur'ān	199
10.1	The Qur'ān as the Primary Source of Law	200
10.1.1	Justification of the Qur'ān as a source	202
10.1.2	The recording and revelation of the Qur'ān	203
10.2	Legal strength and indication of <i>aḥkām</i> in the Qur'ān	205
10.3	The kinds of <i>aḥkām</i> in the Qur'ān	206
10.4	Can one text of the Qur'ān abrogate another?	207

11	The <i>Sunnah</i>	209
11.1	The <i>Sunnah</i> as a Primary Source	210
11.1.1	Definition of the <i>Sunnah</i>	210
11.1.2	Kinds of <i>Sunnah</i>	212
11.1.3	Justification of the <i>Sunnah</i> as a source of law	221
11.1.4	Justification of the different types of <i>Sunnah</i>	223
11.2	Status of the <i>Sunnah</i> With Respect to the Qur'ān	227
11.2.1	The manner in which the <i>Sunnah</i> lays down the <i>aḥkām</i>	228
11.2.2	Modern views about the relationship between the Qur'ān and the <i>Sunnah</i> . . .	231
12	Consensus of Legal Opinion (<i>Ijmā'</i>)	235
12.1	The Meaning of <i>Ijmā'</i>	236
12.1.1	Technical or legal meaning	237
12.1.2	Conditions for the validity of <i>ijmā'</i> . . .	237
12.1.3	Types of <i>ijmā'</i>	240
12.1.4	The legal force of <i>ijmā'</i> as a source	241
12.1.5	The <i>sanad</i> of <i>ijmā'</i>	245
12.1.6	Likelihood of the occurrence of <i>ijmā'</i> . .	246
12.1.7	Cases of <i>ijmā'</i> transmitted in books of <i>fiqh</i>	248
12.1.8	Role of <i>ijmā'</i> in the modern world	248
III	The Secondary Sources of Islamic Law	251
13	<i>Maṣlaḥah</i> and the <i>Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah</i>	257
13.1	The Meaning of <i>Maṣlaḥah</i> (Interest) and the Doctrine of Utility	259
13.1.1	The Meaning of Utility and Bentham . . .	260
13.1.2	<i>Maṣlaḥah</i> in Islamic Law	260
13.2	The Classification of <i>Maṣlaḥah</i>	261
13.2.1	First classification: <i>maṣlaḥah</i> acknowl- edged or rejected by the <i>sharī'ah</i>	262

13.2.2	Second classification: <i>maṣlahah</i> according to its inner strength	264
13.2.3	Third classification: definitive and probable interests	265
13.2.4	Fourth classification: public and private interests	266
13.3	The Doctrine of <i>Maṣlahah</i> and <i>Maslahah Mursalah</i> : Distinction	266
13.4	<i>Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah</i> or the Purposes of Islamic Law	267
13.4.1	What is beyond the purposes?	268
13.4.2	<i>Maqāṣid al-sharī'ah</i> and the texts	269
13.5	The Nature and Structure of the Purposes of the <i>Sharī'ah</i>	270
13.5.1	Primary purposes in the service of the Hereafter	270
13.5.2	The two faces of the <i>maqāṣid</i>	272
13.5.3	Primary and secondary purposes	274
13.5.4	Priorities within the <i>maqāṣid</i>	276
13.5.5	<i>Maṣlahah</i> , Public Interest and Human Rights	279
14	<i>Qiyās</i> (Analogy)	283
14.1	Definition of <i>Qiyās</i>	284
14.2	Elements of <i>Qiyās</i>	285
14.3	Examples of <i>Qiyās</i>	286
14.4	Conditions Pertaining to the Elements	289
14.4.1	Conditions of the <i>aṣl</i> or the case in the texts	289
14.4.2	Conditions pertaining to the <i>ḥukm</i> of the <i>aṣl</i>	289
14.4.3	Conditions pertaining to the <i>far'</i> or new case needing a <i>ḥukm</i>	291
14.4.4	Conditions pertaining to the <i>'illah</i> and meaning of <i>'illah</i>	292
14.5	Types of Analogy (<i>Qiyās</i>)	297

14.5.1	The first type: probable and definitive or <i>qaṭʿī</i> and <i>ẓannī</i>	297
14.5.2	The second type: classification according to the strength of the <i>ḥukm</i> established in the <i>farʿ</i>	298
14.5.3	<i>Qiyās jalī</i> and <i>qiyās khafī</i> or manifest and concealed analogy	300
14.6	Justification of <i>qiyās</i> as a source of Islamic law	301
14.6.1	Arguments of those who uphold <i>qiyās</i>	301
14.6.2	Arguments of those who reject <i>qiyās</i>	303
15	<i>Istiḥsān</i> (Juristic Preference)	307
15.1	The Meaning of <i>Istiḥsān</i>	307
15.1.1	<i>Istiḥsān</i> is a method for looking at the consequences of a decision	309
15.2	Examples of <i>istiḥsān</i>	310
15.3	Types of <i>Istiḥsān</i>	311
15.3.1	Distinction between the various types	314
15.4	Justification of <i>Istiḥsān</i>	314
16	<i>Istiḥāb</i> (Presumption of Continuity)	315
16.1	The Meaning of <i>Istiḥāb</i>	315
16.2	The Principles That Form the Basis of <i>Istiḥāb</i>	316
16.2.1	Types of <i>Istiḥāb</i> and Their Legal Validity	317
16.3	<i>Istiḥāb al-Ḥāl</i> Cannot Establish a New Rule	319
16.4	<i>Istiḥāb al-Ḥāl</i> and the Islamisation of Laws	320
17	<i>Maṣlaḥah Mursalah</i> (Extended Analogy)	321
17.1	The Meaning of <i>Maṣlaḥah Mursalah</i>	321
17.2	The Conditions for the Validity of <i>Maṣlaḥah Mursalah</i>	323
17.3	Illustrations of <i>Maṣlaḥah Mursalah</i>	324
17.4	The Process of Using <i>Maṣlaḥah Mursalah</i>	326
17.5	Identifying <i>Maṣlaḥah</i> That is <i>Gharīb</i>	328
17.6	Identifying <i>Maṣlaḥah</i> That is Rejected (<i>Mulghā</i>)	330
17.7	Justification of <i>Maṣlaḥah Mursalah</i>	331

18	<i>Sadd al-Dhari‘ah</i> (Blocking the Lawful Means to an Unlawful End)	333
18.1	Types of Lawful Acts	334
18.2	Disagreement of Jurists About the Legality of the Principle	335
19	Other Secondary Sources	339
19.1	Companion’s Opinion (<i>Qawl al-Ṣaḥābī</i>)	340
19.1.1	Views of those who accept it as a source	340
19.1.2	Views of those who do not consider it binding	342
19.1.3	Opinions of the Followers of the Companions (<i>Tābi‘ūn</i>)	342
19.2	<i>Shar‘ Man Qablanā</i> (Earlier Scriptures)	342
19.2.1	Types of earlier laws	343
19.2.2	Reason why the earlier laws are not binding	343
19.3	Custom (<i>‘Urf</i>)	344
19.3.1	Types of <i>‘urf</i>	344
19.3.2	Can the Western laws obtaining in Pakistan be treated as custom?	346

IV *Ijtihād* (Interpretation) 349

20	The Meaning of <i>Ijtihād</i> and its Modes	353
20.1	The Meaning of <i>Ijtihād</i>	354
20.2	<i>Ijtihād</i> is not Independent of the Qur’ān and the <i>Sunnah</i>	355
20.3	The Task of the <i>Mujtahid</i>	355
20.4	Basic Assumptions Made by the <i>Mujtahid</i>	356
20.5	Texts That are not Subject to <i>Ijtihād</i>	358
20.6	The Three Modes of <i>Ijtihād</i>	360
20.6.1	The first mode	360
20.6.2	The second mode	360
20.6.3	The third mode	361
20.7	The Complete Process of <i>Ijtihād</i>	361

20.8	The <i>Ḥukm</i> of <i>Ijtihād</i> and its Types	362
20.9	The Qualifications of the <i>Mujtahid</i>	363
20.9.1	Who is a <i>mujtahid</i> today?	366
20.10	<i>Ijtihād</i> a Legislative Function: The Need for an Islamic Theory of Legislation	367
21	The First Mode of <i>Ijtihād</i>: Interpreting the Texts	371
21.1	The Concept of <i>Bayān</i> (Elaboration)	374
21.1.1	<i>Bayān taqrīr</i> (complementary expres- sion or elaboration)	377
21.1.2	<i>Bayān tafsīr</i> (enabling expression)	377
21.1.3	<i>Bayān taghyīr</i> (elaboration by exception)	378
21.1.4	<i>Bayān tabdīl</i> (conditional expression)	379
21.1.5	<i>Bayān ḍarūrah</i> (elaboration by necessity)	379
21.2	Literal Interpretation of the Texts	380
21.2.1	The structure of interpretation	381
21.2.2	The four general literal methods of es- tablishing the <i>aḥkām</i> (<i>dalālāt</i>)	383
21.2.3	The literal methods of establishing the <i>aḥkām</i> practised by the Shāfi'īs	392
21.2.4	<i>Amr</i> (command) and <i>nahy</i> (proscrip- tion): the nature and type of <i>ḥukm</i> es- tablished	396
21.2.5	The number or categories affected by the <i>ḥukm</i> : <i>‘āmm</i> ; <i>khāṣṣ</i> ; <i>mushtarak</i> ; and <i>mu’awwal</i>	398
21.2.6	The clarity or ambiguity with which the <i>ḥukm</i> is established	402
21.2.7	The effect of the use of words in the ac- tual or metaphorical meanings	403
22	The Second Mode of <i>Ijtihād</i>: Reasoning by Analogy	407
22.1	Moving From the First Mode of <i>Ijtihād</i> to the Second	408
22.2	The Methods of Discovering the Underlying Cause (<i>Masālik al-‘Illah</i>)	410

22.2.1	Discovering the cause in the new case: <i>taḥqīq al-manāṭ</i>	412
22.3	Analogy and the Modern Jurist	413
23	The Third Mode of <i>Ijtihād</i>: Employing the <i>Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah</i>	415
23.1	Moving From the Second Mode of <i>Ijtihād</i> to the Third: the <i>'Illah</i> and the <i>Ḥikmah</i>	416
23.2	The Interplay Between General Principles and the <i>Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah</i>	419
23.3	A Value Oriented Jurisprudence	420
23.3.1	Distinction between the value system in Islamic law and Western jurisprudence	422
23.4	<i>Maṣlahah</i> and the Modern Jurist	423
24	Abrogation (<i>Naskh</i>) and Preference (<i>Tarjīh</i>)	425
24.1	The Doctrine of Abrogation (<i>Naskh</i>)	426
24.1.1	Examples of repealing and overriding laws	427
24.1.2	The wisdom behind the doctrine of abrogation	428
24.1.3	Distinction between <i>naskh</i> (abrogation) and <i>takḥṣīs</i> (restriction)	428
24.1.4	Types of abrogation	429
24.1.5	The attributes of the abrogating and abrogated evidences	430
24.2	Justification for the Doctrine of Abrogation	430
24.2.1	Comparing the Impact of Abrogation and Restriction	431
24.3	The Rules of Preference (<i>Tarjīh</i>)	432
24.3.1	Reconciliation (<i>jam'</i>)	433
V	The <i>Faqīh</i> and His Methodology	435
25	<i>Taqlīd</i> as a Methodology	439
25.1	<i>Taqlīd</i> as a Basis for the Islamic Theory of Adjudication	441

25.2	The Literal Meaning of <i>Taqīd</i>	442
25.3	The Technical Meanings of <i>Taqīd</i>	442
25.4	The <i>Hukm</i> of <i>Taqīd</i> and <i>Ijtihād</i>	443
25.5	<i>Taqīd</i> in Law is an Exemption from the General Rule of Prohibition	444
25.6	<i>Taqīd</i> in the Pakistani Legal System	446
25.7	<i>Taqīd</i> and the Islamic Legal System	446
25.7.1	The grades of the jurists	448
25.7.2	The <i>mujtahid</i> and the <i>faqīh</i>	450
25.8	The Layman Moving From One School to Another	452
26	The Sources of Islamic Law for the <i>Faqīh</i>	455
26.1	The Task of the <i>Faqīh</i>	457
26.2	The Output/Result of <i>Ijtihād</i> is a Source for the <i>Faqīh</i>	458
26.3	The Established Principles of Islamic Law are a Source for the <i>Faqīh</i>	461
26.3.1	Principles stated explicitly or impliedly in the texts	461
26.3.2	Principles derived by the jurist from a number of existing cases in the law	464
26.3.3	Examples of principles from al-Dabbūsī	466
26.4	Al-Dabbūsī on the Methodology of the <i>Faqīh</i>	470
26.5	The <i>Faqīh</i> May Formulate a New Principle if it Meets the Prescribed Conditions	472
26.6	Conclusion	472
21	Select Bibliography	473
21	Detailed Bibliography	477
22	Glossary	515